**Project Diary**

**Completed:**

* (9/10/20) Started GitHub repository – containing project diary, meeting notes and summary of literature.
* (15/10/20) Read 11 papers and made notes.
* Constructed table of datasets and their strengths/weaknesses.
* Started to come up with ideas for project focus.
* (22/10/20) Read a few more papers.
* Created table of ideas examining feasibility/if addressed in literature/potential datasets.
* Completed ethics form.
* (29/10/20) Investigated initial dataset statistics of demographics dataset.
* Drew up project plan with background, aims, deliverables, Gantt chart.
* Drafted some research questions.
* Read more papers related specifically to area of project.
* Began writing literature review.
* (05/11/20) Continued writing literature review.
* Looked at overlap of toxicity dataset with personal attack/aggression datasets and assessed viability of combining them.
* Assessed current research using chosen dataset to see if there’s any useful research and look at how novel the idea is.
* Created proposal on new dataset, looking at number of annotators, what questions to ask, how dataset composed and why chosen.
* (12/11/20) Continued writing literature review.
* Analysed demographics of more reliable subgroup of data (>=10 annotators, high agreement)
* Changed crowdsourcing proposal to focus on gender bias.
* Identified groups overlapping with gender, choosing race as an additional demographic group to include in annotations.
* Looked into gender/race swapping/debiasing.
* (26/11/20) Finished writing literature review.
* Built toxicity classifier (BERT) and adapted to predict annotator gender based on comment and toxicity score.
* (3/12/20) Examined detoxify library and Roberta-base model.
* Adapted classifier – balanced data by bins (female very toxic, male very toxic, female toxic…), supplemented comments with “toxic”/”neutral”/”healthy”, predicted gender for each bin (labels = gender) and predicted bins (labels = bin numbers)
* Examined true negative and true positive distributions in terms of gender likelihoods.
* Results showed some overfitting and bias (in meeting notes for this week)
* (10/12/20) Word clouds for annotators of different genders
* Built autoencoder for reconstructing text to see accuracy for when autoencoder trained on different groups.
* (26/01/21) Trained BERT classifier on toxic, neutral, nontoxic data separately on balanced male and female classes, tried to predicted gender of annotator given comment.
* Found bias as classifier showed pattern assuming more comments were annotated by men for all classes (should’ve been 50/50 and not picked up on anything if no bias existed) so this clearly shows that men and women annotate comments differently.

**To Do:**

* Extend literature review into mini version of paper.
* Look at explainability libraries, run sentiment tutorial, plug in classifier, and find which words were most influential in predictions.
* Text generation for prompt to end sentence with language that would be classified as toxic by a man/woman.